

April 30, 1975

importance and significance of this bill far overrides the concern of a \$120,000 appropriation. The appropriation is minimal. It is not going to disrupt even our very tight state budget this year and the benefit that would be conferred on the citizens of the state of Nebraska is far outweighed by that insignificant cost. I would hope that you would override the Governor's veto.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Members of the Legislature, I agree with what Senator Cavanaugh said about the need to override the Governor's veto on this proposition. I had occasion to contact the Welfare Department about some rules of theirs to determine whether they coincide with federal rules or whether what I had been told was a rule was in fact a rule. What I got from the Welfare Department, I am not certain that it answered the question that I asked but I had to accept it as a response because I didn't know where else to go to see the rules. Now, if I was willing to drop everything I was doing and pursue this trail, perhaps, I could have found it but I didn't have the time at that time. If these rules were available in the Douglas County Court House, then at my own convenience, living in Omaha, I could have found the time to go to the section that I was concerned about to read for myself what it was I was looking for and to determine whether it was there or not. When time came for the Warden to be appointed this time, there was a dispute as to whether or not the requirement that he have a certain amount of education was a rule, a policy, or exactly what it was and to this day, I am not 100% certain what it is. So there are a lot of reasons why these rules ought to be more readily available than they are now, and to the same extent that statutes are distributed so that various people with a concrete interest can find out what the laws of the state are, the rules that are often passed to enforce or carry out those laws should be equally available. I think the amount of money being expended can be justified very easily in terms of the amount of benefit to be derived from this, and since the Legislature saw fit to pass the bill in the first place, I think we ought to override the Governor's veto. On this bill, I do not see us, as I do on so many Governor's vetoes, as being in a headon clash, the legislative against the executive. This is merely a policy judgment. In my judgment, I think it is valid to have these rules dispersed in the fashion that Senator Duis' bill says they should be. The Governor feels that for economic reasons, alone, they should not. He is not saying that it is unreasonable to have these rules dispersed. Therefore, I support the move to override his veto.

PRESIDENT: Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I wish that they would turn to LB 267 and the final draft for just a second to point out a couple of things and would hope that they would agree to override the veto. Having served on the Rules and Regulations Committee, under Senator Duis, I think Senator Duis has the right idea and something we have worked on for the last couple of years. In subsection 1, it says, that the Revisor of Regulations to